HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

DIVISION BENCH

CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI JAGDISH BHALLA, Ag. CJ. &
HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

Writ Petition (PIL) No. 6289 of 2007

Petitioner : Ishwar Singh Chandel, S/o Shri G.S. Chandel, aged about 31 years, General Secretary of the Railway Siding Majdoor Sangh, Bilaspur, President of Hammal Majdoor Union, Bilaspur, Manager of the Chhattisgarh Paribahan and Shramik Sahakri Samiti, Bilaspur, & Vice-President, Rastriya Koyala Majddor Sangh, Bilaspur, R/o Millan Chowk, Kududand, Bilaspur, P.S. Civil-lines, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.).

Versus

Respondents

1. Union of India, Through Its Secretary of the Ministry of the Coal & Mines, Shashtri Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. Prime Minister Office of India, Through its Director & Central Public Information Officer, South Block, New Delhi
3. Joint Secretary to Prime Minister of India, & Appellate Authority of Central Public Information Officer, South Block, New Delhi.
4. Coal India Limited, Through its Director (Personnel & IR) 10, Netaji Subash Road, Calcutta, (West-Bengal)
5. South Eastern Coal Fields Limited. Through its Chairman-Cum Managing Director, SECL Seepat /road, Bilaspur (CG)
6. General Manager (Industrial Relationship & Legal), Management representative of SECL Sanchalan Samiti SECL HQ Bilaspur, (CG)
7. General Manager, (Welfare & Public

Information Officer), SECL HQ Bilaspur, (CG)

8. General Manager, (Finance), Management Representative of SECL Sanchalan Samiti SECL HQ Bilaspur, (CG)

9. Director (Personnel), Management – Representative of SECL Sanchalan Samiti, SECL HQ Bilaspur, (CG)

10 Director, (Finance), Management Representative of SECL Sanchalan Samiti SECL HQ Bilaspur, (CG)

11. Natthlal Pandey, General Secretary Koyala Mazdoor Sabha, union Representative KMS (HMS) of SECL Sanchalan Samiti SECL 3+HQ Bilaspur, (CG) R% 1864 Wright Town Jabalpur (MP)

12. Mahendra Pratap Singh, union Representative, KMS (BMS) of SECL Sanchalan Samiti SECL HQ Bilaspur (CG)

13. Markandeya Singh, General Secretary sanyukta Khadan mazdoor sangh (AITUC) union, Representative HKMS (AITUC) of SECL Sanchalan Samiti SECL HQ Bilaspur, (CG) R% Rajnagar Colliery SECL hasdeo Area District Sahdol (MP)

14. Kameshwar Singh, President Koyala Shramik Sangh (CITU) union Representative KSS (CITU) of SECL Sanchalan Samiti SECL Bilaspur, (CG) R% Ghurdeva colony, bankimogra SECL Korba Area, District Korba (CG)

15. Ajay Pandey, union Representative CMOAI of SECL Sanchalan Samiti SECL HQ Bilaspur (CG)

Writ Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Present : None appears for the petitioner.
Shri SK Beriwal, standing counsel for Union of India, on advance notice.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 16th October, 2007)

The following oral order of the Court was passed by **Hon'ble Jagdish Bhalla, Ag. C.J.**

Amended copy of the writ petition has been taken on record. Accordingly, IA Nos. 1 & 4 stand disposed of.

The petitioner claims himself to be the General Secretary of the Railway Siding Mazdoor Sangh, Bilaspur; President of Hammal Majdoor Union, Bilaspur; Manager of the Chhattisgarh Paribahan and Shramik Sahakari Samiti, Bilaspur; & Vice-President, Rashtriya Koyala Mazdoor Sangh, Bilaspur, however, he has failed to bring on record any document in support of his claim that he is working in different capacities with different organisations. Further, the petitioner has not filed this Public Interest Litigation on behalf of any of the organisations as referred to hereinabove. He has not specifically mentioned that with which organisation he is working. Since none appears on behalf of the petitioner to assist this Court, it is difficult to find out from the pleadings whether the petitioner is an employee of some of the Coal organisation or earning his livelihood from some other organisation. However, from the pleadings, it appears that he is an employee of one of the coal companies. From the perusal of the writ petition, it also appears that the petitioner is a very confused person. Though the matter under challenge is with respect to misuse of funds, the petitioner had prayed for writ in the nature of quo-warranto, prohibition, certiorari and mandamus.

In the light of the above, the writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
Ag. Chief Justice

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

subbu